

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,896	12/21/2000		Yoshitake Ishii	99292	2637
23165	7590	10/05/2004		EXAM	INER
ROBERT J J			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH ST PAUL, MN 551161511				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1764	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A U Al Al	Ammlia am4(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/745,896	ISHII ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Virginia Manoharan	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	ny 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage				
14 h						
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e				
i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)				
	٠, تا Outer					

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

- a. The $3 \le$ furfural concentration by weight /acrolein concentration by weight) ≤ 100 claimed e.g., in claims 1-2 and 16-17 (compare e.g. with page 6, line 10 of the specification); and
- b. the process steps c) and d) of claim 13, are all not positively recited in the specification.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer, Jr. et al (5,759,358) in view of Fairmont et al (6,352,619).

The above references are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at pages 2-3 of the previous Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed May 10, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

However, the comparative Example 4 of Bauer, Jr. et al explicitly discloses the claimed $3 \le (furfural concentration by weight/acrolein concentration by weight) <math>\le /100$

Art Unit: 1764

and discloses feeding a chemical amine in the distillation of acrylic acid as claimed. [The claims read on obvious subject matter even though they likewise read on non-obvious subject matter. (Differences in concentrations will not support patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating that such concentration is critical)]. Furthermore, applicants' argument that "Comparative Example 4 feeds mPD (m-phenylenediamine) to the upper portion of the column alone, not to the pot containing CAA, acrolein and furfural, whereas each of independent claims 1 and 13 requires distilling a crude acrylic acid containing acrolein, furfural, where the crude acrylic acid that is distilled is charged with a chemical that treats an aldehyde " is of no patentable moment.

The claims are not limited to charging at the pot of the column commensurate with the argument. Moreover, the timing, i.e. the step of charging the crude acrylic acid with the hydrazine compound (a chemical that treats an aldehyde) is <u>prior</u> to the step of distilling the crude acrylic acid as claimed, is not patentably significant. Whether the charging is done prior, during or after distillation, the same result is achieved. That is, the level of aldehyde will be reduced as desired with such treatment.[Compare e.g., column 2, lines 12-64 of Bauer '358; column 2, lines 12-19 of Fauconet et al; and page 8, lines 17-25 of the specification]. Fauconet et al further suggests the argued charging the crude acrylic with the hydrazine prior to the step of distilling the crude acrylic acid. Note column 2, lines 14 and 15.

Art Unit: 1764

Thus, in the absence of anything which may be "new" or "unexpected result:" a prima facie case of obviousness has been established by the art and has not been rebutted.

Unexpected results must be established by factual evidence. Mere arguments or conclusory statements in the specification, applicants' amendments, or the Brief do not suffice. In re Lindner, 475 F.2d 506, 508, 173 USPQ 356, 358 (CCPA 1972). In re
Wood, 582, F.2d 638, 642, 199 USPQ 137, 140 (CCPA 1978).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1450. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

Art Unit: 1764

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V. Manoharan/dh September 30, 2004

> VIRGINIA MANOHARAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ARTUNIT 122 1764